Radial Photic Stimulation for Maximal EEG Response for BCI Applications
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Abstract—This study proposes the use of radial visual stimuli design to obtain increased steady state visual evoked potential (SSVEP) responses that can be utilised in brain-computer interfaces (BCI). Visual stimuli designs based on chip on board (COB) LEDs were used in this study to compare the influences of the radial with horizontal and concentric patterns in SSVEP. Circular rings with diameters 70 mm, 90 mm, 110 mm, and 130 mm with green COB LEDs were used for radial and concentric patterns while green strip COB LED of 18 mm width and length 16 cm was used for horizontal pattern. The visual flicker and the concentric patterns were generated and controlled precisely by a 32-bit microcontroller platform. The SSVEP frequencies used were 7, 8, 9 and 10 Hz for a period of 30 seconds for each horizontal, radial and concentric circle visual stimulus. The study analysed the EEG recording from five participants comprising of five trials from each frequency and three different stimuli designs to identify the most responsive visual stimulus for evoking SSVEP. Furthermore, we also compared the influence of ring diameters in radial visual stimulus to identify the maximal response and minimal visual fatigue. The results indicated that radial stimulus gave significantly better response than concentric circles or horizontal stimuli for all the participants. Also 130 mm radial stimulus gave the highest response and viewing comfort.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Brain waves recorded from the scalp using non-invasive methods with electroencephalography (EEG) is a standard tool used in various mental health related diagnosis [1]–[3]. It is also becoming a more popular method in multi-disciplinary studies combining neuroscience, psychology, computer science and engineering for various applications [4], [5]. EEG based brain-computer interface (BCI) is a type of human-machine communication system utilising brain signals to control external applications or devices. BCI research is widely explored in recent decades and such technologies can assist people with disabilities to provide communication capabilities to relay their intentions to external world through a non-muscular medium [5]–[8].

EEG based BCI is popular due its low cost, portability and high temporal resolution. The data is collected using sensors placed at various positions around the head based on the requirement of the study or application. BCI applications are generally developed using EEG signals based on P300 potential or steady state visual evoked potential (SSVEP) as these give higher success rates. However motor imagery based BCI is yet another possible approach [9]–[12].

Figure 1 shows an example of EEG data acquisition, wireless data transfer and data processing in a BCI based application control system. The data can be recorded using wireless Emotiv EPOC+, which wirelessly transmits the data to the computer using proprietary protocol with real time monitoring of packet loss and connection quality. The raw EEG recordings are processed and classified for controlling external applications or other non-muscular communication systems.

In this paper, radial stimulus were studied along with horizontal and concentric designs for SSVEP based BCI application with the aim of increasing the response. SSVEP is a repetitive sinusoidal like signal generated in the brain when the user focuses on a flickering light which flashes continuously at a constant frequency [10], [11], [13]–[15].

![Fig. 1. Basic BCI control system](image-url)}
transfer rate, little training and the suitability for practical BCI applications. In spite of all these advantages, SSVEP is not always comfortable for prolonged usage due to visual fatigue for the user [16]. Previous studies have explored the effect of colour and orientation of the visual stimulus for improving the responses in SSVEP designs and also to reduce the visual fatigue [9], [14], [16], [17]. The horizontal LED array design has been shown to give improved response over vertical and single LED [9]. In this proposed study, we used chip-on-board (COB) LED visual stimulus in SSVEP elicitation as it improves the LED lighting quality and has uniform light distribution [18]. The proposed radial visual stimulus was designed using a single ring of green COB LED’s with diameters of 70, 90, 110 and 130 mm as this was the sizes available in the market. Green colour was chosen based on results of previous study [14]. The concentric stimulus was designed using green COB radial LED rings but with progressive motion as explained in Section II. The horizontal stimulus was designed using green COB LED strip of 18 mm wide and 160 mm long.

The frequencies used in this study were 7, 8, 9 and 10 Hz as the lower frequencies gave better response [14]. The frequencies were generated by a 32 bit microcontroller platform with LED’s driven by MOSFETs for constant brightness. The complete system was powered by a 5 V direct current (DC) source from a battery pack in order to avoid any line interferences from the mains supply. The EEG responses from all three visual stimuli were compared and analysed to identify the visual stimulus design that has the highest performance and reduced visual fatigue. The experiment also investigated the influence of ring diameters in radial visual stimulus, for evoking SSVEP response and also comfort level for the user.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Experimental Setup

To explore the influences of radial, concentric and horizontal stimuli on SSVEP, five participants (It is a pilot study and hence only five participants) with perfect or corrected vision were chosen in the age group of 24 to 45 (two males and three females). The participants were seated 60 cm from the visual stimulus which was placed at eye level. The participants did not have any prior experience with BCI. Written consents were obtained from all the participants after briefing them on the objectives and requirements of the them. The study received ethical approval from Faculty of Sciences Ethics Committee at University of Kent. EEG was recorded using Emotiv EPOC research edition with 14 electrodes, though only a single active electrode located at O2 was used for this study. The visual stimulus was programmed with the desired frequency to evoke the SSVEP for a period of 30 seconds for each trial. The EEG headset was prepared with all electrodes fitted with saline soaked felts and positioned on participants head and good contact was verified using Emotiv test bench software. The recording process started with horizontal stimulus at 7 Hz recorded for a period of 30 seconds followed by 7 Hz 70 - 130 mm radials and 7 Hz concentric circles each with the same durations. This was repeated for five trials with a total data collection period of 150 seconds. Recording break of one minute was given between each 30 second recording session to allow any previous stimuli influences to subside. The process was repeated for all the other frequencies.

B. Visual Stimulus Design

This study used visual stimulus based on COB green LEDs consisting of four circular rings with diameters of 70 mm, 90 mm, 110 mm and 130 mm, and one horizontal LED strip of 18 mm width and 160 mm length shown in Figure 2. Each LED ring was individually controlled from the microcontroller and driven by a high current MOSFET. The concentric circle stimulus consisted of four circular LED rings flashing at the desired frequency in a sequence of ON and OFF fashion to produce the desired frequency. It starts with the 70 mm ring in active high state for the desired ON period and changes to active low followed by the 90 mm ring going active for the same period of time as the 70 mm. This progression continues to the 130 mm ring then repeats from 70 mm again. This entire process produces a concentric visual stimulus in an expanding fashion.

The LED ON/OFF timing control was designed using a 32 bit ARM Cortex M4 Teensy development board which operates at 72 MHz and can generate frequencies precisely. The block diagram of the schematic is shown in Figure 3. To maintain the optimum brightness throughout the experiments, high current MOSFETs were used for all individual LED rings and horizontal LED strip. The complete system was powered using a 5V DC battery pack with 5000 mA current capacity to ensure the steady supply of power to the visual stimulus.

The visual stimulus frequencies programmed for the flickers were 7, 8, 9 and 10 Hz with an accuracy of 0.05 Hz. The precision of the flicker frequencies were confirmed with a digital oscilloscope at the LED terminals.
C. EEG Data Acquisition and Processing

The EEG data was recorded using Emotiv EPOC research edition with 14 data channels and with two reference electrodes and the layout is shown in Fig 4. In this study, only the data from electrode O2 was used to analyse the responses of all three visual stimulus. It is generally known that SSVEP is maximal in occipital region and hence the chosen electrode location [19]. The EEG data was recorded using Emotiv test bench software for 30 seconds at 128 Hz sampling frequency and the data was stored in the European Data Format (EDF). Each participant had five trials for each frequency and stimulus type. The total number of trials for each participant is as shown in Table 1. The total recording time for all the visual stimuli for each participant is 60 minutes (120 trials each lasting 30 seconds). The actual experimental time was slightly longer giving time for breaks and setup. The EDF format EEG data from channel O2 was converted to MATLAB format for offline analysis using EEGLAB [20].

Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis tests as normality was not assumed. The analysis was performed on maximal FFT amplitudes of EEG data from all radial sizes, concentric circles and horizontal orientation for all four frequencies from five participants.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

As mentioned, three different visual stimuli performances were tested for SSVEP responses; (a) radial COB LED stimulus with sizes from 70, 90, 110 and 130mm, (b) concentric circular patterns in expanding fashion, and (c) horizontal COB LED stimulus. All the mentioned visual stimuli were analysed for four frequencies 7, 8, 9, and 10 Hz.

The analysis compared data for identifying the most responsive stimulus from all three stimuli designs. Each set of data had 150 amplitude FFT values for each frequency for one participant. Each participant had six sets which included 70 mm, 90 mm, 110 mm, 130 mm, concentric circles and horizontal stimuli. Figures 5 to 9 shows the box plots for the obtained maximal FFT amplitudes for 7 Hz stimulus from five participants for different radial sizes, concentric circles and horizontal stimuli. The central line shows the median value while the edges of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles with the whiskers extending to the most extreme values. The Kruskal-Wallis tests, $\chi^2(df = 5, N = 150)$ showed significant differences between stimuli for all five participants:

- $P1 : H = 347.28, p = 6.73 \times 10^{-73}$
- $P2 : H = 286.46, p = 8.11 \times 10^{-60}$
- $P3 : H = 402.16, p = 1.01 \times 10^{-84}$
- $P4 : H = 227.94, p = 2.95 \times 10^{-47}$
- $P5 : H = 226.22, p = 6.90 \times 10^{-47}$

The 30 second recording was filtered with band-pass filter of 2Hz bandwidth with centre frequency as the stimulus frequency and data segmented into one second EEG segments. The five trials for each stimulus had 150 segments of one second data which was analysed using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and the maximum FFT amplitudes from each segment were stored for statistical analysis.
The mean ranks for all different visual stimuli for five subjects is shown in Table II. From these tables, it can be observed that 130 mm radial stimulus gave better performance compared with concentric and horizontal stimulus. The least performance was from the horizontal or 70 mm radial stimuli for all participants.

For further analysis of the SSVEP responses, different sizes of radial stimuli, concentric circles and horizontal stimulus, data from all five participants were combined for each stimulus type. For each stimulus, 750 FFT amplitude values were used from five participants in Kruskal-Wallis test (150 values from each participant). Figure 10 shows the box plot representation of all stimuli from five participants. The highest SSVEP response was for 130 mm radial stimulus followed by 110 mm, 90 mm, 70 mm, concentric circles, and horizontal stimulus, confirming the values obtained in Table II and boxplots in Figures 5-9.

Analyses for other frequencies (8, 9, and 10 Hz) were performed using the same procedure for all the participant data. For each stimulus type, 750 FFT amplitudes values were used from all five participants for performing the Kruskal-Wallis test. Figures 11 to 13 show the box plots for frequencies 8, 9 and 10 Hz. The mean ranks for all different visual stimuli for five subjects and frequencies 8, 9, and 10 Hz are shown from Table III to Table V. The results showed the 130 mm radial stimulus exhibited better performance than concentric and horizontal visual stimuli, and horizontal being the least responsive stimulus.

The Kruskal-Wallis tests, $\chi^2(df = 5, N = 750)$ showed significant differences between stimuli for all five participants.
for 8, 9 and 10 Hz for the three different visual stimuli;

\[
\begin{align*}
8 \text{ Hz: } H &= 911.021, p = 6.81e^{-196} \\
9 \text{ Hz: } H &= 1.48e^{+03}, p = 9.29e^{-320} \\
10 \text{ Hz: } H &= 696.99, p = 1.56e^{-149}
\end{align*}
\]

The lowest response was from the horizontal stimulus when compared with radial and concentric circles. In the radial ring comparison 130 mm had the highest performance and 70 mm responses were the lowest in all frequency ranges.

In the frequency analysis, 7 Hz showed the highest response as compared to 8, 9 and 10 Hz. Figure 14 shows the analysis of 750 FFT amplitude values for 130 mm stimulus for four frequencies. Table VI shows the mean rank for the analysis.

The Kruskal-Wallis tests, \( \chi^2 (df = 3, N = 750) \) showed significant differences between frequencies 7, 8, 9 and 10 Hz for all five participants \( H = 256.59, p = 2.45e^{-55} \).

Frequency 7 Hz had the highest response followed by 8, 9 and 10 Hz and this confirms our previous findings [14].

In visual stimulus, comfortability analysis for the participants, a scale of one to ten (ten being the most comfortable) was used for comparing the stimulus comfort with reduced visual fatigue. The response is shown as a bar chart in Fig 15. Even though only five participants completed the questionnaire, all participants responded 130 mm radial stimulus as the most comfortable flicker as compared to other visual stimuli.
In this study, we investigated the influence of three different green COB LED visual stimuli to evoke SSVEP responses in brain. Comparisons were made between radial, concentric circles and horizontal stimuli that flashed at frequencies 7, 8, 9, and 10 Hz. From the analysis of data from five participants, radial stimulus exhibited the highest response for SSVEP in all participants followed by the concentric circles and horizontal stimuli. For the radial stimulus, the ring diameter analysis for all the participants revealed that 130 mm had the highest response followed by 110, 90 and 70 mm. The 70 mm radial gave better performance when compared with the horizontal stimulus.

Furthermore, the participants observed radial stimulus was better for viewing comfort as compared to concentric circles and horizontal stimuli. In addition, the 130 mm radial stimulus was more comfortable for viewing than 70 mm radial stimulus. Therefore, it is suggested that 130 mm radial stimulus is used to evoke SSVEP for BCI applications due to maximal response and better viewing comfort. Future research could explore the influence of various random and static patterns based on COB LEDs in evoking SSVEP responses in real time.
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